

# Exam complaints policy 2025-2026

**Author:** 

Examinations Officer

Approved by Board of Governors:

August 2025

Date of next review:

August 2026

MORE HOUSE SCHOOL

KNIGHTSBRIDGE

## **Contents**

- 1. Key staff involved in the policy
- 2. Purpose of the procedure
- 3. Grounds for complaints
  - a. Teaching and learning
  - b. Access arrangements
  - c. Entries
  - d. Conducting examinations
  - e. Results and Post-results
- 4. Complaints and appeals procedure
  - a. How to make a formal complaint
  - b. How a formal complaint is investigated

# 1. Key staff involved in the policy

Head of Centre	Claire Phelps
Exams Officer	Jorge Rodriguez
Deputy Head	Toby Robertson

# 2. Purpose of the procedure

This policy confirms More House School compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (section 5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written complaints policy which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

# 3. Ground for complaints

A candidate may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

## a. Teaching and learning

- Quality of teaching and learning, for example
  - Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
  - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
  - Core content not adequately covered
  - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

## b. Access arrangements

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed candidate personal data consent form)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during exam/assessment
- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

#### c. Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

## d. Conducting examinations

Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place

- Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) exam/assessment
- Disruption during exam/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by an awarding body

#### e. Results and Post-results

Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results

- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of a review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to awarding body post-results services)
- Candidate unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via Exams Officer to the centre's internal appeals procedure)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

# 4. Complaints and appeal procedure

If a candidate has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification she is following, More House School encourages her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance, by contacting the School's Exams Officer.

If a complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

### a. How to make a formal complaint

- An exams-related complaint should be submitted in writing to the Head of Centre, by completing a Complaints Form (Appendix A)
- Forms are available from the Exams Officer
- Completed forms should be returned to the Exams Officer
- Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within reasonable time.

## b. How a formal complaint is investigated

- The Head of Centre will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who
  is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to
  investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion
- The findings and conclusion will be put in writing and provided to the complainant within 2 working weeks

#### **APPENDIX A**

Complaints Form		FOR CENTRE USE ONLY  Date received		
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes on the form below		Reference No.		
<ul> <li>Complaint against the centre's delivery of a qualification</li> <li>Complaint against the centre's administration of a qualification</li> </ul>				
Name of complainant				
Candidate name if different to complainant				
Please state the grounds for your complaint below:				
If your complaint is lengthy please write as bullet points	s: please keep to the poi	nt and include relev	ant detail such	
as dates, names etc. and provide any evidence you may have to support what you say				
If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form being completed	is being completed elect	ronically or overlead	f if hard copy	
Detail any steps you have already taken to resolve the issue(s) and what you would consider to be a good resolution to the issue(s)				
Complainant signature:	Date of si			
complainant signature.	Dute of 31	griatai C.		

